

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Guired States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bot. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspko.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,773	08/28/2001	Masaaki Ohashi	1007-011	6753
7590 10/28/2003			EXAMINER	
James V. Cost		ZIRKER, DANIEL R		
HEDMAN & C Suite 2003	OSTIGAN, P.C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	1185 Avenue of the Americas			
New York, NY 10036-2646			DATE MAłLED: 10/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)	
Offic	Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
—The MAILIN	IG DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover shee	et beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STA		O EXPIRE3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing da - If the period for rep - If NO period for rep - Failure to reply with - Any reply received	ite of this communication. ly specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r ly is specified above, such period shall, by defaul iin the set or extended period for reply will, by sta	eply within the statutory it, expire SIX (6) MONTI- tute, cause the applicat	owever, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS or minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Its from the mailing date of this communication. It is to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Unication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent
Status Responsive to	communication(s) filed on	123/03	<u> </u>
This action is F			
 Since this appli accordance wit 	cation is in condition for allowance except th the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	t for formal matters, 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G.	prosecution as to the merits is closed in 213.
Disposition of Claim			
☐ Claim(s)	8, 10-17, 19	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above cl	aim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
□ Clạim(s)———	0 10 11 71		is/are allowed.
	8, 10-16, 21		
☐ Claim(s)	11.81	is/are objected to.	
		are subject to restriction or election	
Application Papers	duning a sum of a sum		requirement
	drawing correction, filed on filed on is/are objec	- ·	• •
	on is objected to by the Examiner.	ted to by the Exami	rier
•	plaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
	•		
Priority under 35 U.	*		0 (-) (-)
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐	ent is made of a claim for foreign priority ι	inder 35 0.3.0. 9 11	9 (a)-(u).
	pies of the priority documents have been r	eceived.	
	pies of the priority documents have been r		on No
-	e certified copies of the priority document	• •	
in this natio	nal stage application from the Internationa	l Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a))
*Certified copies n	ot received:		
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disc	closure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
	ence(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
	person's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	□ Other	
	,	-	
	Office A	ction Summary	

Serial No. 09/940,773

Art Unit 1771

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. The Examiner notes that although the last Office action mailed May 23, 2003 was marked as a Final Rejection, the Examiner failed to indicate on the cover sheet that at that time newly presented claims 19 and 20 were rejected, which was in fact the Examiner's intention. Although applicant's latest response is entitled "AMENDMENT", and dependent claims 19 and 20 have been cancelled, the Examiner still believes it is proper to withdraw the finality of his prior action, which he has done.
- 3. Claims 10-12 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More particularly, claim 10 appears to be a duplicate of claim 8, and claim 11 refers to a fluororesin film, whereby claim 8 recites the presence of a polytetrafluoroethylene film. Claim 21 is vague and indefinite in that it is not seen how the claimed "film" differs from a "sheet shaped film".
- 4. Claims 8, 10-16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §
 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admissions
 regarding the prior art as set forth in either JP -681 or JP -300
 as set forth in the specification at pages 2, 3 and 4 taken in
 view of either Lin et al. or Kobori, substantially for the

Art Unit 1771

reasons set forth in paragraph No. 3 of Paper No. 9, together with the following additional observations. Applicant arques (Response, first and second pages of the Remarks) that JP -681 and JP -300 do not disclose a "film" to be adhered to a metal plate, but rather a "coating" on the base member. However, the Examiner respectfully submits that films are formed when a "coating" hardens, and it is also noted that applicant's claims are open ended in nature, thereby permitting the presence of a "coating" which may contain additional elements. Applicant also argues that by utilizing either of the primary references, the resulting process becomes particularly difficult to carry out. However, whether or not this may be true is seen to be irrelevant in that the relied upon prior art rejection clearly discloses, and applicant clearly claims, a genus of articles, not a particular process of coating or the like. Finally, it is again noted that Luc has been again relied upon as evidence of the state of the art and the Examiner again reiterates that the reference discloses a broad genus of fluoropolymers and related polymeric materials such as applicant's preferred c/aimed polytetrafluoroethylene can be bonded to a broad genus of metals by welding techniques. Although applicant has claimed a specific type of laser spot welding, and has also included what essentially constitutes a declaration in his prior action which appears to have been resubmitted with the present action in which

Serial No. 09/940,773 Art Unit 1771

he compares other types of welding (electrical welding and CO₂ laser welding) it must be noted that these comparisons are not against the "closest prior art", i.e. as set forth in Luc. Also, it is further noted that "welding" constitutes a very broad genus of techniques which can be utilized to bond a broad genus of one type of substrate (e.g. fluoropolymers or other polymeric sheets) to another type of substrate (e.g. metals). Finally, it is again noted that other parameters, such as the thicknesses of the PTFE sheet are again believed to be well within the ordinary skill of the art, in the absence of unexpected results. In essence, applicant has again not rebutted the <u>prima facie</u> case of record.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE

Art Unit 1771

PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Zirker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Dzirker:cdc

October 15, 2003

DANIEL ZIRKER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500-/ 700

Daniel Zukin